J. Fac. Med. Baghdad 1990 Vol. 32 No. 1

PREVALENCE OF BACKACHE AMONG IRAQI

Faiza A.K. Mohammed¹, Wajiha Ali-Mustaq¹, Hikmet Jamil²

¹Dept. of Comm. Health., Coll. of Nursing and ²Dept. of Comm. Med., Coll. of Med., Uni. of Baghdad, Iraq.

Key words: Nurses, Back Pain, age, occupation, general population (women).

معدل انتشار الآم الظهر بين الممرضات في مستشفيات بغداد

الخلاصة

أجريت الدراسة على مجموعة من الممرضات تتكون من ٥٦٠ ممرضة من مختلف فئان التمريض واللاتي يعملن في ٢٧ مستشفى حكومي في مدينة بغداد وكذلك على مجموعة ضابطة من عامة النساء تتكون من ٥٦٠ امرأة يمثلن مهن مختلفة عدا التمريض بغية معرفة معدل انتشار الأء الظن بدا المحمد عتدا

لقد كان معدل انتشار الأم الظهر بين مجموعة الممرضات ٢٥٣٨ والمجموعة الضابطة ٢٦٦٨ والفرق كان ذو قيمة معنوية احصائيا. لقد تبين ان الأم الظهر تزداد عند المجموعتين كلما نقدم الافراد في السن او بسنوات الخدمة. ان معدل انتشار الآم الظهر كان أعلى (١٥٧٦٪) عند الممرضات اللاتي يعملن في صالة العمليات مقارنة بباقي الاقسام والشُعب في المستشفيات. لقد تبين ان معدل انتشار الآم الظهر بين المجموعتين كان اعلى في من لديهم زيادة في الوزن. والعكس صحيح في من لديهم نقصان في الوزن.

SUMMARY:

Five hundred and sixty nurses (GI) from 27 state hospitals in Baghdad City and 560 women from the general public (GII) were studied for the prevalence of backache. The prevalence of backache among GI was 53.2% and among GII was 36.6%, the difference was statistically significant. The prevalence of bakkache among GI and GII was found to increase as age and length of employment increased, also the prevalence was higher (67.6%) among nurses who worked in operation theatre rooms in comparison to other area's of work. A higher prevalence found among over weight people from both groups (GI and GII) while the reverse was found among the under weight.

The hospital, traditionally is a Heven for the sick, but unfortunately it is a hazardous Heven for the nurses. Nurses comprise one category of employees who work in hospitals and are subjected to many occupational hazards. Some of these are very similar to those present in industrial environments, while others are peculiar for the nursing profession such as needle pricks, burns, back injuries, varicose vien, bacterial and viral infection, chemical and drug exposures as well as work stress1. Backache has been considered recently a major health problem among nurses2. However, in half of all nurses who suffered from backache it was thought to be due to lifting or nursing the patient in bed3. Harber4 found in his study that 52% of nurses developed backache because of their work, while Arad⁵ had stated that 87% of the nurses had one or more episodes of low backache in their life time of work. In the United Kingdom there were over three quarters of a million working days lost among nurses because of backache every year⁶. However, several factors could have an effect on the existence of backache such as age7, sox8, height9 and weight10, duration of employement8 and occupational environment11.

On reviewing the Iraqi literature we could not find any study on backache among Iraqi nurses, although Al-Rawi et. al. 12 has found no appreciable difference in rheumatoid arthritis between the seven occuption groups studied from population sample in Iraq, so the present study was carried out to find the prevalence of backache among a group of nurses of Baghdad City who can fairly be said to represent the Iraqi nurses.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

Two groups of people were studied. Group one (GI) represents a random sample of nurses (560 nurses) who were selected from the total 1467 Iraq nurses working in Baghdad City State Hospitals (27 State Hospitals). Group two (GII) represents a random of women selected from the general population. Those were 560 women attending the out-patient department of the medical city for reason not related to backache (control group).

Backache was recorded as positive only if the nurses or the women confirmed that the diagnosis was made through clinical and radiological examination and was treated by medications. The two groups were interviewed and has answer red the questionnaire which was designed to fulfill the aim of the study, weight red the 400 and height of nurses and women who reported backache were measured. The scale was standardized by five K.g. and the height was measured without shoes with the heals close to the wall. Note: 1- Non-tragi nurses were excluded as they were few in number.

- - 2- The 560 nurses represent 95.6% of the nurses who accepted to parti-
 - 3- A large number of women from the general population refused to Participate because of shortage of time.
 - 4- Over or under weight was decided according to a list obtained from the Institute of Nutritional Research Centre-Ministry of Health-Iraq.
 - 5- The Chi-square statistical test was used as a test of significance and the result was considered significant when P was less than 0.05.
 - 6- Out of 560 nurses, 458 were graduates of the school of nursing. The others were midwives, technical and professional nurses.

RESULTS:

Out of 560 nurses studied, 298 nurses reported backache, a prevalence of 53.2% while out of 560 women studied 205 women reported backache a prevalence of 36.6%. The difference was statistically significant. The mean age for GI was 30 ± 11.9 years and for GII was 31 ± 7.6 years and the mean age for those having backache in GI was 34 ± 9.2 years and in GII was 36 ± 8.2 years. The prevalence of backache among the two groups is shown in Table 1 by age groups,

Table 1. Prevalence of backache (BA) among GI, GII by age groups.

Age Group		GI with BA	Prev.	Total	GII with BA	Prev.	AŁ.
(years)	Total	WILLIDY		105	13	10.4	
-24	183	62	33.9	125	33	22.8	
25-29	98	46	46.9	145	47	36.7	
30-34	107	60	56.1	128	52	57.8	0.00
35-39	78	58	74.4	90	30	76.9	
40-44	45	32	71.1	39	30	90.1	
45+	49	40	81.6	33	The state of the state of	36.6	131
Total	560	298	53.3	560	205	bat 100sh48	W BI
For GI	2000	HOUSE OF YE	248 JC 898 Y	ForGII			
$X^2 = 55.8$		eneminaso i		$X^2 = 31.1$	- put off	medications	yd b
d.f. = 5			eway Marie	d.f. = 5			
P<0.005			100	P < 0.05			
			123		12		

Table 2 by years of employment, Table 3 by types of wards for GI only and Table 4 by weight.

Table 2. Prevalence (Prev) of backache (BA) among GI, by years of

Table 2.116		many 327 100000	material de la companya del la companya de la compa		
employment.	when the state	GI with BA	Prev.		
employment	Total	CONTRACTOR A PARTY OF THE PARTY	31.8 grew 261 brainens		
- 5	176	48	- 48.0 and is resimilarly on be		
5- 9	100	42	50.6		
10-14	83	74	64.9		
15-19	114	78	89.7		
20t,	87	STREET VALUE UND	53.3		
Total	560	298	OF DROKBONE WAS TREETED		
$X^2 = 68.38$	d.f. = 4	pario aci bili sa	P<0.005		

Table 3. Prevalence (Prev) of Backache (BA) among GI, by Types of Ward

Ward	Total	GI with BA	FIEV.	nterstanding states where sense ence was states against the ground of and the
Pediatric	99	44	A A A	bay sith intraction between (gr)
Medical	106	49	46.2	
Surgical	210	106	50.5	
Operater rooms	105	71	67.6	atequi eltroirellahoiren
Total	520	270	51.9	unto worked in pediatric
Others*	40	28	70.0	uopen ficeso o a fidensii
Grand total	560	298 018	D18W53.3	any their children in the p
$X^2 = 9.0$ d.f. = 3	P < 0.05	are auditarie	arry tasks an	

miningerams want, while Harber' tound it among the nurses whow when Table 4. Weight groups of GI, GII with backache as number and percentage. gading the bring to an add duly shows the daid the st prevetence

The found health a lean as I are a wife in a for the chieffle was

Weight group	THE CHARLES	В	ackache	of the second trades of the se
H- d	No.	%	No.	A CONTROL AREA STATE AND SOUND AND A CONTROL OF A CONTROL
Under weight Normal weight	16	5.4	107 17	wented at the studies.
Over weight	126	42.3	85	TO OLD THE EMEYS SOURCE OF ENDINGERIES OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
Total	156 298	52.3 100	103	The \$41.5 mortal upon remove ipart la large and a
$X^2 = 109.5$ d.f. =	2 P <	0.005	205	100 and some second upon visites been some and some being and some second and secon

^{*} not included in the test of significance

DISCUSSION:

The results show that the prevalence of backache among GI was statistically significantly different (P < 0.001) in relation to GII (Table 1).

section (BA) among Gl, by years of

Results of questionnaire show that 67.7% of GI who complained of backache were under medical treatment and 18% were under physiotherapy, while the remaining 14.3% received no treatment at the time of questionnaire. The prevalence of backache among both groups increased as age progressed (Table 1). This result is in agreement with Dehlin study¹³. The difference in the prevalence among different age groups in both GI and GII was statistically significant (P < 0.001). The prevalence of backache was higher in GI in comparison to GII in each age groups except after the age of 40 years. This could be due to physiological changes that take place as a person gets older (Table 1). The prevalence of backache among GI increased as the years of employment increased (Table 2), the difference was statistically significant (P < 0.001). Cust 8 found in his study the highest prevalence of backache among nurses during their early years of service and he related that to their work, however, several factors may be involved. The study reveals that the highest prevalence of backache in GI was among nurses working in the operating theatre rooms while the lowest was among those who worked in pediatric wards (Table 3). The difference was statistically significant (P < 0.05). This could be due to the nature of work as the mothers accompany their children in the pediatric wards to take care of them, while in the other wards nurses perform many tasks and various duties. However, Cust⁸ has found that the highest prevalence of backpain was among the nurses who work in geriatric ward, while Harber⁴ found it among the nurses who work in theatre room.

This study shows the highest prevalence of backache in GI and GII among those who were over weight and lowest among those who were under weight (Table 4), the difference was statistically significant (P < 0.05), these results were in agreement of other studies^{5.14}.

In conclusion, the prevalence of backache among Iraqi nurses was higher than among the general Iraqi women population. The implication of occupational health and safety regulations at work will prevent the occupational hazards in general and reduce the prevalence of backache in particular.

REFERENCES:

1- Mohammed, F.A.F., Prevalence of back pain and Varicose veins among Mohammed, F.A.F., Flevalority MSc thesis-College of Nursing, University the nurses in Baghdad hospital. MSc thesis-College of Nursing, University of Baghdad 1988. of Bagndau 1900.

2- Nurses Action Group. Safe as hospital, Nursing Mirror, 1981. 152, 5:20-4

 2- Nurses Action Group
 3- Wrightm Brenda. Lifting and moving patients. Nursing Times. 1981, 77 49: make the transfer of the lines of two a longer

1962-65.

4- Harber et. al. Importance of non-patient transfer activities in nursing-related back pain. J. of Occup. Med. 29, 12, 967-74.

- 5- Arad, D. The incidence and prevalence in nurses of low back pain. The Australin Nurses J. 1986, 16, 1: 44-48.
- 6- Howie, C. "Oh my back" Nursing Times, 1982, 78, 46: 1937-38
- 7- Kaur, B. "Mind your back" Nursing Times. 1986, 82, 16: 45-7.
- 8- Cust, G.; Low back pain in nurses. Queen's Nursing J. 1976, 19, 1:6-8.
- 9- Powell, G.M. Evaluation of low back pain. Occup. Health Nursing 1984, 32, 5: 266-69.
- 10- Taper, C.W. Cyclopedic Medical Dictionary tenth edition Oxford F.A. Davis Company 1973, P: B-2.
- 11- Piesse, B. Lifting up gets nurses down. The Australian Nurses J. 1986, 16, 1:55-7.
- 12- Al-Rawi, Z.S., Alazzawi, A.J., Alajili, F.M., and Alwakil, R. Rheumatoid arthritis in Population sampls in Iraq. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, 1978, 37, 73-75.
- 13- Dehlin, O., Hedenrub, B. Back symptoms in Nursing aids in a geriatric hospital. Scandinavian J. of Rehabilitation Med. 1976, 8, 2: 47-53.
- 14- Sheahan, S. Assessment of low back pain. Nurses Practitioner, 1982, 7, 5: