752

1 Comm. Med. 1993, Vol. 6, No. (1)

LIGHTING AND ITS EFFECTS ON HEALTH STATUS OF FEMALE WORKERS IN A SEWING FACTORY - IRAG

*Raild I. Aziz, MB ChB DOM, **Hikmet Jamii MB ChB DVD DIH AFOM MSc PhD MFOM FFOMI. *Physican in the Ministry of Health. **Dept. of Comp. Med. Coll. of Med., Um. of Baghdad.

Key Words: Light, Visual acuity, Workers, Females, Sewing factory, Iraq.

الاضامة وتأثيراتها على الحالة الصحية للعاملات في معمل الخياطة

الفلامية

اجريت دراسة سريرية ربائية تقيم تأثير الاضاحة على الحالة الصحية للعاملات (٤٠٤ عاملة) في شركة الخياطة الحديثة. لذ كانت قوة الإضامة المتوفرة في هر ٩٥٪ من مواقع العمل المشمولة بالدراسة اقل من الحدود الدنيا لقوة الاضاط القياسية المقروض توفرها في مثل هذه المواقع. ٢٦٣٦/ من عينة الدراسة وجد عندهن قصور في حدة البصو و ٢٨٨/ من العاملات رجد عندهن قصور في حدة البصر البعيد وحدة البصر القريب، و ١٩٦٩٪ من العاملات وجد لديهن قصور في حدة البصر البعيد فقط و ١٢٦١ من العاملات وجد لديهن قصور في حدة البصو القريب فقط . لم يكن هناك فارق معنوي (اللبعة المعنوية اكبر من عصرا) بين المجموعات الاربع لنوع العمل بما يخص حدة البصر البعيد واكن كان هناك فارق معنوي كبير (القيمة المعنوية اقل من ٢٠٠١) بين المجموعات الاربع لنوع العمل فيما يخص حدة البصر القريب.

SUMMARY :

A clinico-epidemiological study was undertaken to evaluate the effect of light on the health status of 404 female workers (SG) in a modern sewing factory. 95.8% of the workplaces occupied by workers showed an illumination level below the lower limit of the Iraqi standard illumination level (ISIL). 63.3% of SG were found to have defective visual acuity (DVA), 38.6% of SG had defeclive distant vision (DVD) and defective near vision (DNV). 11.9% of the SG had defec live distant vision (DSV) only and 12,9% of them had defective near vision (DNVbetween types of work regarding DDV (P>0.05) but not DNV (P<0.001)

INTRODUCTION :

Good lighting is essential for acuity of vi sion, for maximum speed of seeing, for the prevention of eye fatigue and therefore, for working efficiency and prevention of acridents(1). Several factors affect the visual ac unty (VA)(2,3,4), visual task perfer mance (1,2). The pathological changes could occur in the visual cells and the retinal pig ment epithelium of the person exposed to high intensity of light 55 or poor lighting and glare (1.2). The prevalence of ameropia among general population was reported by stieger since 1913(7) followed by several only). No significant difference was found-studies regarding VA among general popular tion (8,9) but the epideminlopical studies which concentrated on the VA or the work ers, and the effect of highway a the work

place recieved very limited attention (10-12). In Iraq a study (13) on VA among students was carried on, but after searching in the Iraqii national liabrary and Iraqu medical Journal no study was found concerning the relation between lighting in work places and its effect on health of the wrokers; for this reason this study was conducted.

MATERIALS AND METHODS(14):

- The biggest modern sweing factory has been chosen for this study, as the lighting facilities is an important factor on the efficiency of the working individual.
- Out of 1148 employes, 665 workers were chosen first as they worked in the departments (sewing, cutting, pressing and inspection) which needed good standard of lighting and VA.
- 3. Out of 665 workers, 404 female workers were considere as a study group (SG) because male workers were exculde as their number was small (n=41) and 208 female workers were unable to participate because they were overloaded with their work, the remaing eleven female workers were excluded by ophthalmogist as they showed pathological conditions which could have an effect on VA.
- 4. A luxmeter type mavolux-digital-Gossen

company was used to measure the illumination at the bench level of each worker included in the SG at the point where the visual task is mostly performe⁽¹⁵⁾, the luxmeter was daily standardized and measurment of the illumination was repeated after four months (at the bigging and the end of the field work-1992) the mean of these two readings was considered as illumination level at the workplace. The lower limit of the ISIL ⁽¹⁶⁾ was taken as the standard illumination level for comparisons.

- 5. A structured questionnaire was designed filled by the reasercher, then a clinical examination was done in a prepared side room of each department which included VA for distant vision (DV)⁽¹⁷⁾, VA for near vision (NV)⁽¹⁸⁾ and ophthalmoscopical examination. Any suspected pathological condition was referred to a consultant ophthalmologist.
- 6. the data were fed to the computer (IBM) and the statistical analysis require was made.

RESULTS :

The clinical examination of 404 female workers showed that 82.7% of them complained of at least one visual symptom during the working day (Table 1), 63.3% of the

Table 1. The prevalence rate (RR) of some visual symptoms which occurred during the

| Type of symptom | workers with symptoms | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Blurring of vision | No. | RR | | |
| Headche | 240 | TO A THAT WAS A STREET ON THE OWN THE OWN THE | | |
| Pain in the eye | 289 | 50.1 | | |
| increased lacrimation | 93 | 71.5 | | |
| one of more of the shows | 60 | 23.1 | | |
| ote: one worker many complain of | 334 | 17.1 | | |
| many complain of | The state of the last of the l | 437 | | |

many complain of more than one symptom

Table 2. Distribution of acuity of vision according different variables

| Type of variable | Total workers | DDV | | DNV | |
|---------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| | | · No. | RR | No. | PR |
| Age group | | | C. Seminar dovernormal control | N. A. S. | THE PERSONAL PROPERTY. |
| 16-20 | 37 | 17 | 45.9 | 11 | 29.7 |
| 21-25 | 187 | 71 | 38.0 | 57 | 30.5 |
| 26-30 | 63 | 35 | 55.6 | 41 | 65.1 |
| 31-35 | 50 | 30 | 60.0 | 39 | 78.0 |
| 36-40 | 37 | 24 | 64.9 | 30 | 81.1 |
| 40+ | 30000 | 27 | 90.0 | 30 | 100.0 |
| Total | 404 | 204 | 50.0 | 208 | 51.5 |
| P | | <0.01 | | <0.001 | |
| Duration of Service | | | T | - | |
| 1-5 | 137 | 56 | 40.9 | 29 | 21.2 |
| 6-10 | 128 | 56 | 43.7 | . 68 | 53.1 |
| 11-15 | 72 | 47 | 65.3 | 50 | 77.8 |
| 15+ | 64 | 4.5 | 67.2 | 5.5 | 82.1 |
| P | | >0.05 | | < 0.01 | |
| Department | | Parameter and the second | _ | | |
| Sewing | 249 | 120 | 51.8 | 145 | 58.2 |
| Cutting | 57 | 30 | 52.6 | 24 | 50.9 |
| Pressing | 53 | 24 | 45.3 | 16 | 30.2 |
| Inspection | 45 | 21 | 46.7 | 18 | 40.0 |
| P | | >0.05 | | <0.01 | |

DDV = defective distant vision

DNV = defective near vision

No. = number

PR = Prevalence rate

at such workplaces.

DISCUSSION :

workers under the study were found to have in the modern sewing factory had illumina-DVA and only 36.7% of them were found to tion below the limits of ISIL, on the other have normal VA. Table 2 represents the type hand 82.7% of the workers complained of at of defects in the VA of the SG regarding DV least one visual symptom which could be and NV according to age group, duration of related to fatigue during the working day employment and type of work, while Table These findings are similar to other stud-3 shows the distribution of VA according to ics (10,12,19,20), 63,3% of the SG had DVA the illumination level at the workplace, which is similar to another study (12). There however, the illumination level of 95.8% of was a significant increase in the prevalence the total workplaces was below the standard rate (PR) of DDV and DNN as the age inillumination level that should be available, creased. These findings were similar to oth er studies (2,6,21). The PR of DVA increased as the duration of the employment in The study showed that 95.8% of workplaces creased, the effect of work, age and many

Table 3 Distribution of acuity of vision of the workers according to the level of

| Homai | P Total worker | | illum. l St. limit | evel within is (17 workers) | illum, level belaw St. limits (387 worker | |
|--|----------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| and the second s | - | and the same of th | No. | PR | No. | FR |
| Department Sewing Cutting Pressing Inspection | | 249 57 53 45 | 0 9 8 0 | 0.6 15.8 15.1 0.0 | 249 48 45 45 | 100.0 84.2 84.9 100.0 |
| Normal DV DDV | n.5 | 200 204 | 11 6 | 5.5 | 189 | 94.5 97.1 |
| Normal NN DNN | sig | 196 208 | 15 2 | 7.7 | 181 | 92.3 |

illum = illumination

PR = prevalence rate

DDV = Defective distantvision

DNN = Defective near vision

sig. = < 0.001

St = standard

DD = distant vision

NN = near vision

ns = not significant

other points could be the reason for these can be concluded that, in this factory, workfindings; no other available studies could be ers-working in a poor lighting level are reached to compare these findings. The re- more liable to have DNN. The study recomsults showed no significant differences be- mends improvement of lighting level at the tween the four types of work regarding the workplaces with periodic checkup, pre and DV but not the acuity of NV, while a high periodic medical examination should be precentage of DNV was found among sewing considered, and those with DVA should be workers (58.2%) while a low percentage of encouraged to wear their glasses during DNN was found among pressing workers work. (30.2%).

So the type of work which needs more close We thank the General Director of the mod-Work and follow up will affect acuity of NV em Sewing factory and his staff for allowmore than other types of work. On the other ing us to carry out this work. Special hand no association was found between the thanks to Dr. Jassim Al-Ajzzan. Director of illumination level at workplace and the acui- the National Center of Occupational Health by of DV of the workers, but an association and Safety and Dr. Suhaila Al-Kenani, Con-

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT :

was found on the acuity of NV. From this, it sultant ophthalmologist, Saddam Medical

Table 3 Distribution of acuity of vision of the workers according to the level of

| llemination at work | P | Total workers | ilium. level within St. limits (17 workers) | | illum, level below St. limits (387 workers | |
|---|-----|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| all the contract of the selection and commencer | | | No. | PR | No. | PR |
| Department Sewing Cutting Pressing Inspection | | 249 57 53 45 | 0 9 8 0 | 0.0 15.8 15.1 0.0 | 249 48 45 45 | 100.0 84.2 84.9 100.0 |
| Normal DV DDV | n.s | 200 204 | 11 | 5.5 | 189 198 | 94.5 97.1 |
| Normal NN DNN | sig | 196 208 | 15 2 | 7.7 | 181 206 | 92.3 99.0 |

illum = illumination

PR = prevalence rate

DDV = Defective distantvision

DNN = Defective near vision

sig. = < 0.001

St = standard

DD = distant vision

NN = near vision

ns = not significant

DNN was found among pressing workers work. (30.2%).

So the type of work which needs more close We thank the General Director of the mod-

other points could be the reason for these can be concluded that, in this factory, workfindings; no other available studies could be ers-working in a poor lighting level are reached to compare these findings. The re- more liable to have DNN. The study recomsults showed no significant differences be- mends improvement of lighting level at the tween the four types of work regarding the workplaces with periodic checkup, pre and DV but not the acuity of NV, while a high periodic medical examination should be precentage of DNV was found among sewing considered, and those with DVA should be workers (58.2%) while a low percentage of encouraged to wear their glasses during

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT :

work and follow up will affect acuity of NV ern Sewing factory and his staff for allowmore than other types of work. On the other ing us to carry out this work. Special hand no association was found between the thanks to Dr. Jassim Al-Ajzzan. Director of illumination level at workplace and the acui- the National Center of Occupational Health ty of DV of the workers, but an association and Safety and Dr. Suhaila Al-Kenani. Conwas found on the acuity of NV. From this, it sultant ophthalmologist, Saddam Medical

City for their kind help.

- REFERENCES:
 1. Harvey, B. and Murray, R. Industrial
- health technology. Butter worth and Co. London, 1985.
- Kaufman, J.E. I.E.S. Lighting hanbook.
 5th edition, Waverly press Inc. New York,
 1972.
- Gold Schmit, E. On the etiology of myopia, an opidemiological study. Acta Ophthal. 1968, (Suppl), 98.
- Sorsby, A., Leary, G.A. and Fraser, G.R. Family studies on ocular refraction and its components, J. Med. Genet. 1966, 3, 269.
- 5. Mc Kechnie, N.M. and Johson, N.F. Light damage to the retina. Exp. Ophthalmol. 1977, 203/3-4 (283-292).
- Vaughan, D. and Asbury, T. General Ophthalmology 9th edition, Lange los atols, California, 1980.
- 7. Sorsby, A., Benjamin, B. and Bennet, A.G. Stieger on refraction. a reappraisal, Brit. J. of Ophthal. 1981. 65/12 (805-811). 8. Sorsby, A., Sheridan, M. and Leary, G.A. Refraction and its components is twins. Med. Res. Conc. Spec. Rep. Ser. 1962, 303/1.
- 9. Pickham, S., Gardiner, and Tibbenham. A. Vision screening of adolescents and their use of glasses. Brit. Med. J. 1979, 1/6171 (1111-1113).
- 10. Hewitt, H. Lighting as a contribution to every one's well being at work. Ophthalm. Optician 1970, 10/21 (1114-1119-20).
- 11. Dubbois Poulsen. A. Visual fatigue. GAZ. MRD. FR. 1973, 80/28 (4447. 44454), Sum in english in Excepta medica

Ser. 35, vol. 4/8, 1974.

- 12. Comellas Fernandez, C., Almirall Hernandez, P. Sonia, V.R. and Garcia, G. Influence of lighting system on workers health and welfare. Rev. CUBA, HIG EPID. MIOL. 1981, Sum, in English in Exc. Med. Sec. 35, 13/3, 1983.
- 13. Al-Taei, M.J.H. Clinico epidemiological study of visual acuity among students of three colleges in Al Mustansiriya Uni. MSc. thesis Dept. of Comm. Me. Coll. of Med. Uni. of Al-Mustansiriya. 1991
- 14. Aziz, R.I. Lighting and its effects on health status of female workers in a sewing factory. Diploma thesis, Dept. of Comm. Med. Coll. of Med. Uni Baghdad. 1992
- 15. The IES Code for interior lighting. The illumination engineering society. London 1973.

دلبل منسسة الاضاح ١٩٧٦ - المركز القومي
 للاستشارات الهندسية والمعارية - بغداد - العراق.

- 17. Newell, F.W. Ophthalmology: Principles and concepts. 6th edition mosby St. Louis, 1986
- 18. Duke. Elder, S. System of ophthalmology, Vol. 5 Ophthalmic optic and refraction mosby St. Louis, 1970.
- 19. Hollwich, F. and Dierkhues, B. working room lighting and its medical consequences for man. Arbeits, Med. Sozialmed praventimed 1976, 13/5 (103-106) Sum in english in exc. Med. Sec. 35, 9/5, 1979.
- 20. Summers, A.J. Light and the office environment. Aus. J. phylother. 1989, 35/1,
- 21. Miller, S.J.H. Person's disease of the eye 17th edition. Churchill-Livingston, Edinburgh 1984.